

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,700 03/29/2004		Joerg Weshendorff	81093425	1369
22844 759 FORD GLORAL	90 12/21/2006 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FAIRLANE PLA	ZA SOUTH, SUITE 800	SLITERIS. JO	SLITERIS, JOSELYNN Y	
330 TOWN CEN DEARBORN, MI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			3616	
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONITUS		12/21/2006	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/811,700	WESHENDORFF	WESHENDORFF, JOERG				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joselynn Y. Sliteris	3616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMM 1.136(a). In no event, however, rr of will apply and will expire SIX (6) ute, cause the application to become	UNICATION. lay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	October 2006	•					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requiremen	•	•				
۰٫۵	are subject to restriction and	701 cledion requiremen	•					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/29/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		riew Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/811,700

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement

1. Examiner acknowledges receipt of applicant's Amendment to the Claims and corrected Declaration (entered 10/11/06).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The recitation "wherein the spring plate bypasses the chassis underframe" is not adequately supported by the disclosure as originally filed and as such is considered to be new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,700 Page 3

Art Unit: 3616

5. Claims 1 and 8, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pelz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,357,772).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, as best understood, Pelz discloses a wheel suspension system as in the present invention, comprising:

a lower link 1;

a spring 15 having a lower end and an upper end, the lower end of which is arranged on the link and the upper end of which is arranged in a spring plate (see annotation on Fig. 2 attached); and

a chassis underframe 6 having at a mounting 36, 37, and having at least one pair of bearings 7, 8;

wherein the spring plate bypasses the chassis underframe and directly engages the body of the vehicle;

wherein the lower link is designed as a transverse link.

Examiner notes that the recitation "for supporting ... vehicle" in claim 1 is functional language and further describes intended use, and as such does not serve to distinguish. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,700

Art Unit: 3616

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pelz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,357,772) in view of Martinez, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent 4,771,996), previously cited by examiner.

Page 4

Regarding claim 5, Pelz discloses the claimed invention except for a spring aid. Martinez, Jr. discloses that it is known in the art to provide a spring plate 24 combined with the support of a spring aid 28. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the spring plate of Pelz with the spring aid of Martinez, Jr., in order to provide a better seat for the spring against the spring plate.

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pelz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,357,772) in view of Sautter et al. (U.S. Patent 4,671,531).

Regarding claim 6, Pelz does not specifically disclose the at least one pair of bearings of the chassis underframe being formed by elastomeric elements. Sautter discloses that it is known in the art to provide rubber bushings 9 for the chassis underframe 7'. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the bearings 7, 8 of Pelz with the rubber bushings 9 of Sautter, in order to provide better damping of noise and vibrations.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-4, 7, and 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

Art Unit: 3616

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, as set forth in this Office action.

10. Claims 12 and 13 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 6, and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,700

Art Unit: 3616

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joselynn Y. Sliteris whose telephone number is 571-

272-6675. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Thurs & Fri 8:30 am - 5:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joselynn Y. Sliteris 12/1

Page 6

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3616

JYS 12/13/06

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600



